

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILIN		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/065,529 10/27/2002		10/27/2002	Sezen Uysal	PAX-110	2149
59241	7590	09/27/2006		EXAMINER	
LATIME		V, LLP ER ROAD	PRIETO, BEATRIZ		
SUITE 12		EK KOAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HERNDON, VA 20171				2142	
				DATE MAILED: 09/27/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	. Applicant(s)		
10/065,529	UYSAL, SEZEN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Prieto Beatriz	2142		

Interview Summary	10/065,529	UYSAL, SEZEN	
interview Guinnary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Prieto Beatriz	2142	·
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Prieto, Beatriz (Prim Ex)</u> .	(3)Latimer, Matthew (Reg.	No. 44,204) .	
(2) <u>Uysal, Sezen (Inventor)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 21 September 2006.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	.]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Alteon Web systems, In	nc. (Non-patent literature).		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement	was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, Y	been filed, APP DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
	€/ BEA	Best Inch TRIZ PRIETO	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by
 attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does
 not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,

(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)

- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant requested a personal interview faxing required written request/agenda. The claimed term "data packet" (DNS query) was reviewed by examiner inlight of the disclosure and the applied prior art. Discussions between inventor and examiner at least positively identified the inventive concept. Examiner indicated that inview of the specification and the identified inventive concept and certain aspects of the invention with respect to the fields inside the DNS query/packet structure, she is unable to suggest alternative language to describe the claimed term data packet. The DNS query message, packet or data are used interchangeably through the disclosure. The applied prior art also utilizes the term packet and message interchangeably. The inventive's concept seems to utilize or is associated with the DNS query message/packet structure, as known in the art, thus the inventor and representative indicated that they will work on this to identify language/inventive aspects distinguishable over the applied prior art. Rejection is maintained. No agreements and/or commitments from either parties were made.

BEATRIZ PRIETO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

-Latimer IP Law, LLP

WHEN IP MATTERS

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL

TO:

FROM:

B. PRIETO **USPTO**

Matthew T. LATIMER ////Latimer IP Law, LLP

3902

FAX: 571.273.3868

FAX: 703.463.3071

3102

TEL: 571.272.3868

TEL: 703.463.3070

DATE: 11 September 2006

SUBJECT: Request for Personal Interview

NUMBER OF PAGES (including this page): 2

Comments:

This facsimile transmission was sent from a law firm. It is intended only for the individuals to whom it is addressed. It might contain information that is privileged, confidential, or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you believe that you have received this facsimile transmission by mistake, please notify the sender immediately by telephone or return facsimile transmission, and destroy the accompanying document without retaining a copy in any form.

PTOL-413A (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form						
Application No.: 10/065, 529 First Named Applicant: Sezen UYSAL Examiner: B. PRIETO Art Unit: 2142 Status of Application: After Final							
Tentative Participant	TER (Attny)						
(3) Sczen UYSAI	L (investor)	(4)		_			
Proposed Date of Int	Proposed Date of Interview: at examine 5 (mutaite Proposed Time: (AM/PM)						
Type of Interview Re (1) [] Telephonic	equested:						
Exhibit To Be Shown If yes, provide brief	n or Demonstrat description:	ed: [] YES	MNO				
Issues To Be Discussed							
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed		
(1) all rejections	all class	Alteon	[]	[]	[]		
(2)			[]	[]	[]		
(3)			[]	[]	[]		
(4) [] Continuation She	et Attached		[]	[]	[]		
Brief Description of	Arguments to b	e Presented:	Exemper 30	ntuble de	in language		
	n the chim	term "data po	cket from Th	USE 07 7	HI) JZ/M		
NOTE: This form she (see MPEP § 713.01).	ould be complete	above-identified app d by applicant and sub om issue because of app sed to file a statement	mitted to the exami dicant's failure to s	ner in advance ubmit 2 written	record of this		
Applicant/Applica	unt's Representat	ive Signature	Exar	niner/SPE Sign	nature		
Typed/Printed Name	e of Applicant or	Representative					
Registration	n Number, if app	licable					

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commence, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commence, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS PAGE 2/2 * RCVD AT 9/11/2006 8:58:18 AM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/32 * DNIS:2733902 * CSID:7034633071 * DURATION (mm-ss):00-50